## September 28, 2007

Eric A. Cioppa, Acting Superintendent

Attn: Vanessa J. Leon (Docket No. INS-07-1000)

Bureau of Insurance

Maine Dept. of Professional and Financial Regulation

34 State House Station

Augusta, Maine 04333-0034

Re: Anthem BCBS 2008 Individual Rate Filing for HealthChoice

Dear Acting Superintendent Cioppa:

Enclosed for filing please find two hard copies of the following:

SUBMITTED BY: Christina M. Moylan, AAG

DATE: September 28, 2007

DOCUMENT TITLE: Second Information Request of the Attorney General

DOCUMENT TYPE: Information Request

CONFIDENTIAL: No

Copies are also being served this date in the manner indicated on the enclosed Certificate of Service.

Sincerely,

/s/ Christina M. Moylan

CHRISTINA M. MOYLAN Assistant Attorney General

207/626-8838

christina.moylan@maine.gov

CMM/s

Enc.

c: Thomas C. Sturtevant, Jr., AAG

Christopher T. Roach, Esq.

Judith M. Shaw, Deputy Superintendent

James Bowie, AAG Joseph P. Ditre, Esq.

## STATE OF MAINE DEPARTMENT OF PROFESSIONAL AND FINANCIAL REGULATION BUREAU OF INSURANCE

IN RE:	)	
ANTHEM BLUE CROSS	)	
AND BLUE SHIELD 2008	)	SECOND INFORMATION REQUEST
INDIVIDUAL RATE FILING	)	OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
FOR HEALTH CHOICE AND	)	
HEALTHCHOICE STANDARD	)	
AND BASIC PRODUCTS	)	NON-CONFIDENTIAL
Docket No. INS-07-1000	)	
To: Christopher T. Roach, Esq.		
Pierce Atwood		
One Monument Square		

Pursuant to Bureau of Insurance Rules, Chapter 350, § 10(B), the Attorney General serves the following informational request upon Anthem Blue Cross and Blue Shield ("Anthem BCBS"). If any of the information sought hereby is not known by Anthem BCBS but is known by other persons and is obtainable by reasonable means, then Anthem BCBS is requested to obtain and provide that information. The Attorney General further asks that Anthem supplement its response immediately, should additional information become available after its initial response to this request. All references to the filing are to the non-confidential version.

Portland, ME 04101

- 1. Please provide the 1 month moving claims data underlying the 12 month moving claims data shown in Exhibit VI of the rate filing.
- 2. Please provide the 1 month moving claims data requested above with the removal of claims in excess of \$100,000. Please exclude only the amount of cumulative claims over \$100,000 (for example, a person with \$150,000 in claims for the year should have \$50,000 removed from the data).
- 3. As to your response to question 5 of the AG's 1<sup>st</sup> Informational Request, have the claims per contract per month (pcpm) shown been age adjusted? If not, please provide the claims pcpm after they have been age adjusted.
- 4. Given that the projected experience for calendar year 2007 has a loss ratio about equal to the target loss ratio for 2008, if the inherent deductible underlying the trend analysis for the projection period is about \$8,300 (Anthem's Response to AG's 1<sup>st</sup> Informational Request #2), why is the rate increase requested for the \$5,000 deductible plan (on average 21.4%) so much

higher than the 15.2% trend? We would expect the rate increase for this benefit plan to be slightly under 15.2% after deductible leveraging is taken into account.

- 5. It appears that the \$5,000 deductible plan has the highest rate increase of all benefit plans offered. Since the benefit plans themselves do not have enough experience to be credible, we would expect that the increases requested would follow a pattern similar to the impact of the deductible leveraging in the trend. In other words, we would expect the plan with the largest level deductible to have the highest rate increase due to deductible leveraging. Please explain why this is not the case.
- 6. Please provide the numerical development of the two adult / children rate for the \$5,000 deductible non-mandated plan for the age band 55-64. In the rate filing it is hard-coded as \$1,038.98 (Ex. III, p. 10). Anthem's response to question 4 of the AG's First Informational Request *describes the process* used to develop this number without providing the actual development.
- 7. In the demonstration shown in Exhibit IV that the current rates comply with Rule 940, why do the benefit plans in the second two groups of plans (starting with \$2,250 deductible plan) use a factor of 2.0 to determine the maximum allowable rate rule while the plans in the first group (starting with \$150/\$1,000) use a factor of 2.65? Are these the factors to convert the single deductible to the inherent deductible for the two adult / child rates? If yes, why didn't Anthem use the proposed contract type factor of 2.53 for this analysis?
- 8. We have generated the analysis in the table below, which we believe uses a methodology similar to the one shown in Exhibit IV for a couple of additional age bands and contract types. This analysis shows the proposed rates would not meet the requirements of Rule 940. Please review this analysis and state whether the results are consistent with those that would be generated using the methodology you used in Exhibit IV. If they are, please explain why the requested rates are appropriate.

	Proposed Age 55 to 64	Annual Rule 940	Monthly Rule 940		Rule 940 Exemption	
Deductible/Coinsurance,	Single Contract	Maximum Allowable	Maximum Allowable	Proposed	Cost Sharing Based	
Maximum Anthem Liability	Effective January 1, 2008	Rate Difference	Rate Difference	Differential	Utilization Adjustment	Pass/Fail
\$150/\$1000	\$ 888.44	\$ 150.00	\$ 12.50	\$ 13.08	1.1%	fail
\$300/\$1000	865.69	200.00	16.67	17.45	1.6%	fail
\$500/\$1000	834.61	250.00	20.83	21.81	1.0%	fail
\$750/\$1000	804.54	250.00	20.83	21.82	1.1%	fail
\$1000/\$1000	773.97	1,000.00	83.33	87.27	4.4%	fail
\$2000/\$1000 compare to \$4000/\$1000	654.08	2,000.00	166.67			
	Proposed Age 55 to 64	Annual Rule 940	Monthly Rule 940		Rule 940 Exemption	
Deductible/Coinsurance,	Proposed Age 55 to 64 Two Adult Family		Monthly Rule 940 Maximum Allowable	Proposed	Rule 940 Exemption Cost Sharing Based	
Deductible/Coinsurance,  Maximum Anthem Liability	1 0		•	Proposed Differential	•	Pass/Fail
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Two Adult Family	Maximum Allowable	Maximum Allowable <u>Rate Difference</u>	Differential	Cost Sharing Based	Pass/Fail pass
Maximum Anthem Liability	Two Adult Family Effective January 1, 2008	Maximum Allowable Rate Difference	Maximum Allowable Rate Difference	Differential	Cost Sharing Based Utilization Adjustment	
Maximum Anthem Liability \$150/\$1000	Two Adult Family Effective January 1, 2008 \$ 2,247.76	Maximum Allowable  Rate Difference  397.50	Maximum Allowable  Rate Difference  \$ 33.13	Differential 33.10	Cost Sharing Based Utilization Adjustment 1.1%	pass
<u>Maximum Anthem Liability</u> \$150/\$1000 \$300/\$1000	Two Adult Family Effective January 1, 2008 \$ 2,247.76 2,190.20	Maximum Allowable Rate Difference 397.50 530.00	Maximum Allowable Rate Difference \$ 33.13	Differential 33.10 44.14	Cost Sharing Based Utilization Adjustment 1.1% 1.6%	pass pass
Maximum Anthem Liability \$150/\$1000 \$300/\$1000 \$500/\$1000	Two Adult Family  Effective January 1, 2008  \$ 2,247.76 2,190.20 2,111.57	Maximum Allowable Rate Difference \$ 397.50 530.00 662.50	Maximum Allowable Rate Difference \$ 33.13 44.17 55.21	Differential \$ 33.10 44.14 55.18	Cost Sharing Based Utilization Adjustment 1.1% 1.6% 1.0%	pass pass pass

Dula 040 Enametica

	Proposed Age 65+		Annual Rule 940	Monthly Rule 940		Rule 940 Exemption	
Deductible/Coinsurance,	Two Adult Family	Ma	aximum Allowable	Maximum Allowable	Proposed	Cost Sharing Based	
Maximum Anthem Liability	Effective January 1, 2008		Rate Difference	Rate Difference	Differential	Utilization Adjustment	Pass/Fail
\$150/\$1000	\$ 2,809.70	\$	397.50	\$33.13	\$ 41.38	1.1%	fail
\$300/\$1000	2,737.75		530.00	\$44.17	55.18	1.6%	fail
\$500/\$1000	2,639.46		662.50	\$55.21	68.98	1.0%	fail
\$750/\$1000	2,544.35		662.50	\$55.21	68.98	1.1%	fail
\$1000/\$1000	2,447.69		2,650.00	\$220.83	276.00	4.4%	fail
\$2000/\$1000 compare to \$4000/\$1000	2,068.53		5,300.00	\$441.67			

Dated: September 28, 2007 <u>Christina M. Moylan</u>

CHRISTINA M. MOYLAN Assistant Attorney General 6 State House Station Augusta, Maine 04333-0006 Counsel for Attorney General

## STATE OF MAINE DEPARTMENT OF PROFESSIONAL AND FINANCIAL REGULATION BUREAU OF INSURANCE

In re:	)	
ANTENERAL DALATE OD OGG AND DALATE	)	
ANTHEM BLUE CROSS AND BLUE	)	
SHIELD 2007 INDIVIDUAL RATE	)	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
FILING FOR HEALTHCHOICE AND	)	
HEALTHCHOICE STANDARD AND	)	
BASIC PRODUCTS	)	
Docket No. INS-07-1000	)	

The undersigned counsel for the Attorney General hereby certifies that on this date I caused to be mailed by electronic mail, hand-delivery or United States first class mail, postage prepaid, as indicated, copies of the Second Information Request of the Attorney General upon the persons and at the addresses indicated below.

Thomas C. Sturtevant, Jr., Assistant Attorney General OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL 6 State House Station
Augusta, Maine 04333-0006
Tom.Sturtevant@maine.gov
[e-mail and hand delivery]

Christopher T. Roach, Esq. PIERCE ATWOOD, LLP One Monument Square Portland, Maine 04101 <a href="mailto:CRoach@PierceAtwood.com">CRoach@PierceAtwood.com</a> [e-mail and U.S. Mail]

Vanesa J. Leon
Vanessa.J.Leon@maine.gov
[e-mail]

Richard H. Diamond
<a href="maileoorrage">Richard.H.Diamond@maine.gov</a>
<a href="maileoorrage">[e-mail</a>

Judith M. Shaw

<u>Judith.M.Shaw@maine.gov</u>

[e-mail]

James Bowie jim.bowie@maine.gov [e-mail]

Joseph P. Ditre, Esq. jditre@mainecahc.org [e-mail]

Mia S. Poliquin Pross, JD mpross@mainecahc.org
[e-mail]

Dated: September 28, 2007

Christina M. Moylan\_

CHRISTINA M. MOYLAN Assistant Attorney General 6 State House Station Augusta, Maine 04333-0006 Counsel for Attorney General 207/626-8838 christina.moylan@maine.gov